



Frequently Asked Questions

Table of Contents	
Topic	Page Number
Document Revision History	<u>2</u>
Section I : General Questions	<u>3</u>
Section II : Conversion and Data Mapping	<u>6</u>
Section III : Clinical Management and Documentation of Client Management	<u>8</u>
Section IV : Practitioner Management	<u>11</u>
Section V : Provider Authorizations (P-Auth)	<u>13</u>
Section VI : IBHIS Provisioning Trading Partner Agreement (TPA) and Digital Certificate Processes	<u>13</u>
Section VII : Web Services - General	<u>16</u>
Section VIII : Web Services - Financial Eligibility	<u>17</u>
Section IX : Web Services - Clinical	<u>17</u>
Section X : Web Services - Usage	<u>18</u>
Section XI : ProviderConnect and Member Authorizations	<u>20</u>
Section XII : PATS	<u>22</u>
Section XIII : Claims Processing	<u>23</u>
Section XIV : COS Claims	<u>26</u>
Section XV : Reports	<u>27</u>
Section XVI : Contacts and Reference links	<u>28</u>

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY		
Version	Release Date	Comments/Indicate questions added or answers revised.
1.1	01/15/2014	Initial version of the document compiled with the questions from 1 thru 29.
2.0	09/11/2014	Restructured the document by dividing the questions to different sections. Added questions received after the initial release and updated responses as needed.
2.1	09/16/2014	Section XI: ProviderConnect and Member Authorizations – Revised question 6 Section VI: Web Services – General – Revised questions and responses; Move 1 question to Section: General Questions
2.2	07/18/16	

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section I: General Questions

Q1: What is an Episode?

Ans: *For outpatient services there is one episode opened for a client at a Program of Admission, which associates one-to-one with the Legal Entity of our contract agencies. Directly Operated programs share a single Program of Admission. Outpatient Fee for Service clients are all admitted to the same program of admission shared among all FFS2 practitioners.*

Please review to the Video presentation: '[Rethinking Outpatient Episodes – A Key Concept](http://file.lacounty.gov/dmh/cms1_204348.wmv)'

URL: http://file.lacounty.gov/dmh/cms1_204348.wmv

Q2: Is there only one Episode per client/Legal Entity for their lifetime or do LE's open and close programs as usual?

Ans: *Outpatient episodes stay open as long as the individual is alive, whether or not they are continuing to receive services from one of the Programs of Service operated by that Legal Entity.*

Mode 5 (inpatient/residential) episodes remain distinct; with a separate episode tied to each admission (inpatient facilities are Both Program of Admission and Program of Service).

Q3: What does the term "Program" mean? It seems to refer to the Legal Entity in the Web Services Companion Guide, but also refer to as program service level in the Claims Companion Guide.

Ans: *The program referred in the Web Service is the Program of Admission and the program referred in the companion guide is the Program of Service.*

Please listen to the Video presentation: '[Rethinking Outpatient Episodes – A Key Concept](http://file.lacounty.gov/dmh/cms1_204348.wmv)'

Here is a URL: http://file.lacounty.gov/dmh/cms1_204348.wmv

Q4: The program field references D.22 in the dictionary – these values include Reporting Unit numbers and we have a code for each site. How do RU numbers used with IBHIS relate to the IBHIS episode/admission?

Ans: *Episodes are opened to Admission program (ties to LE), while claims include the NPI of the Service Program (ties to Reporting Units/Service Locations). The outpatient episode is created at the Program of Admission/Legal Entity level regardless of which Service Program within that Legal Entity initiates the episode.*

Q5: Dictionary Code Values vs IS Codes Manual. There are differences between these two documents. A few things noticed are: a) some field names have been changed so it's hard to compare, and b) some fields are new and not included in the IS Codes Manual. For example: Data Dictionary - "Service Type" and IS Codes Manual - "Program Area". These values look similar but are not identical. Customers are still relying on the IS Codes Manual because it's familiar, but may need to refer them only to the Data Dictionary going forward. Is this the right direction? Will the IS Codes manual be discontinued?

Ans: Crosswalks between the IS values and IBHIS values have been provided. The IS Codes Manual will be discontinued once everyone is live on IBHIS. In its place, will be the data dictionaries provided for web services or the companion guide.

Q6: How do providers make sure that the client related data in IBHIS is matching with their own EHR system?

Ans: a) Prior to Go Live: The EFT extract provided with the client demographics (Refer Section –II, questions 3 and 4) should be validated against the provider's EHR system to make sure that the data is in sync with IBHIS.
b) Once in LIVE: Use web services to make sure that the client data in the provider's EHR system is in sync with IBHIS.

It is recommended that contractors do a web-service call to determine current IBHIS data on clients, and if necessary update incorrect and add missing information. Perform a get operation of the web services before doing a put operation, as the put operation basically overwrites the fields supplied in the web services call. Note, since the web-service is a thick update, contractors should be careful not to overwrite accurate information in IBHIS with old, missing or inaccurate information.

Q7: We have both MAT and MH treatment programs, so when we open up a MAT case (we use the First Admission as the Type of Admission?) and if we refer to our own MH services for treatment within our own agency, what type of admission would we open up the treatment case since technically it's the same episode?

Ans: As far as information that would be sent to DMH, you would initially set up an episode when you first see the client in any of the programs under your LE (in the case below, it would be the MAT program). Then when you refer to another one of your programs for the MH services, you will not need to do anything to open the episode. It would already be open based on the chosen MAT program. Therefore there would be no new type of admission. The treatment program would want to verify the client demographics, diagnosis, etc., are up to date.

Q8: Does a crosswalk exist between current IS Plans and IBHIS Funding Source?

Ans: A cross walk is published on the Provider website. Please [Click](#) here to follow the link for accessing the cross walk.

Or Use this actual URL address: http://lacdmh.lacounty.gov/hipaa/cp_UIS_Plans.htm

Q9: Where can we obtain AID codes for Medi-Cal Programs, i.e. extended Medi-Cal and Katie A Medical?

Ans: The most recent Aid Code bulletin can be found at the state website. Please [Click Here](#) to get to the state website the aid code list.

Or use this actual URL Address: <http://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/MH/Pages/MedCCC-Library.aspx>

However, always check the RMD Bulletins website [Click Here](#)

Or use this path for access

<http://dmh.lacounty.gov>

- Click the link 'For Providers' on the top bar

- Click the 'Administrative Tools' from the side bar
- Click 'Revenue Management Division Bulletins' from the right side 'Bulletin' Section to see if a more recent bulletin has been published.

Q10: What criteria will DMH use to establish whether or not a client is active in a particular LE if we are not discharging an episode until the client is deceased?

Ans: Service (claim) data can be used to determine whether the client is active or not in a particular program. Contractors can view this through the web service call function *GetClientSvcHist*.

Q11: This question is related to Reporting Units and Episodes. If the provider has multiple sites (and therefore multiple Reporting Units), if a client visits one location that belongs to one reporting Unit and later visits another location that belongs to another reporting Unit, Do they need to have separate episodes?

Ans: The provider will NOT need an episode under both, but the provider will need to make sure to submit claims under the current program of service (Reporting Unit). For outpatient and day treatment services, a client would have a single episode opened under the program of admission at the LE level to which all of that LE service programs (reporting unit) are associated. All services delivered by any of the service programs (RU's) associated with that LE would be delivered to that client under that single episode.

Q12: Since the IBHIS procedures include more stringent and less forgiving claims validation procedures, i.e. the comparison of claims data to IBHIS data and the PRM comparison to NPPES and claims.... Can DMH make some recommendations for the timing and sequence of Web Services client data updates, PRM updates, and claims submission, for the most effective and accurate claims submitted by providers EHRs'?

Ans: Practitioner data should be kept up-to-date regardless of claiming activity. Client service updates are expected at client registration/time of service, or in other words real time. At a minimum Client Services must be submitted prior to claiming.

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section II: Conversion & Data Mapping

Q1: What client information is considered during the initial conversion of IS to IBHIS?

Ans: *The conversion of client data from the IS into IBHIS is a relatively complex, one-time process that involved multiple intermediate steps associated with tasks including DMH medical records review to de-duplicate clients with multiple existing IS numbers.. The original pull for this data was in late August 2013, and that dataset went through a rigorous de-duplication process. New IS client records created between then and December 18, 2013 were appended to that dataset and form the core of the client conversion dataset.*

Q2: What client information will be pushed from IS to IBHIS, and how long will we need to track changes to client information to update the data gap between what was pushed and what was not?

Ans: *The IS push will involve processes run in DMH data warehouse comparing existing IBHIS client records against new IS client records created since December 18, 2013, and pushing only those client records that appear non-duplicative. The IS push is a daily operation that will create a new client record based on the initial IS episode created in IS for a new client. If the client information is already converted to IBHIS and a new IS episode is created for that client, no additional push action will take place. If existing client/episode data is updated in IS, those updates will NOT be pushed to IBHIS. IBHIS client and diagnostic information should be verified and updated as needed on an ongoing basis once live in IBHIS. (Refer to Section I, question 6).*

Q3: What data elements are being pushed from IS to IBHIS post-conversion?

Ans: *Initial configuration of the Push includes the following elements. This may expand.*

Episode Information	Diagnosis Related information:
ClientID,	ClientID
Client First Name	episodeID
Client Last Name	DiagnosisDate
episodeProgram	DiagnosisTime
episodeAdmissionDate	DiagnosisType
episodeAdmissionTime	DiagnosisStaffID
episodeAdmissionType	DiagnosisPrincipal
episodeAdmissionStaffID	DiagnosisAxisI
ClientGender	DiagnosisAxis1_2
ClientDateOfBirth	DiagnosisAxis1_3
ClientSocialSecurityNumber	DiagnosisAxisII_1
ClientLivingArrangement	DiagnosisAxisIII_1
ClientStreetAddress1	DiagnosisTrauma
ClientStreetAddress2	DiagnosisGeneralMedicalSummaryCd
ClientAddressZip	DiagnosisSubstanceAbuseDependence
ClientCity	DiagnosisSubstanceAbuseDependenceDiagnosis
ClientState	
ClientHomePhoneNumber	
ClientMaritalStatus	

ClientPrimaryLanguage	
ClientRace	
ClientEthnicOrigin	
ClientEducation	
ClientEmploymentStatus	
ClientAlias	

Q4: When will we get the EFT report (extract) that we are to reconcile against? Does this contain provider clinics data only (episode), or is this all client data (ie shared demographics that could come from another agency).

Ans: *An EFT extract was provided after the conversion was completed with the client and diagnostic information in IBHIS. This included client information for all clients who had an IBHIS episode created within their admission program (Legal Entity) via the conversion routine (clients with open IS episodes as of Dec 18, 2013 with at least one service subsequent to 7/1/13). Due to client de-duplication, and episode structure rollup, the demographic & diagnostic information converted was based on survivor record algorithms and, in some instances LACDMH Medical Records staff review. Initial EFT file was posted on February 2014. This includes a list of patient IDs in instances where the IS IDs you are currently using was rolled into a different 'Surviving' ID. Subsequent reconciliation datasets will include IS Push created records.*

Further information is as follows:

From the Reports Committee website (<http://lacdmh.lacounty.gov/ISReport/>), click on the Documentation Link (<http://lacdmh.lacounty.gov/ISReport/Documentation.html>). On the Documentation website, there two links regarding IBHIS data extracts:

- 1. [Converted Data \(from IS to IBHIS\) Extract Information](#)*
 - a. This describes at a high level the individual tables in the Avatar Data Extract database and a list of how to work with the data provided*
- 2. [Legal Entity Extract Avatar Data Dictionary](#)*
 - a. This provides the description and layout of the Avatar Data Extract database*
- 3. [IBHIS Avatar Claims Flow](#)*
 - a. This provides a visual flow of the claims and their statuses through IBHIS*

If you would like to receive training on how to work with the extract files, please send an email to Reporting@dmh.lacounty.gov.

<GO TOP>

Section III: Clinical Management and Documentation of Client Management

Q1: Prior to IBHIS initiative, there were a large number of forms that Providers used to submit data to LACDMH. An example of some of this forms are: MH-224B Open Outpatient Episode, MH-224B Close Outpatient Episode, MH-224A Client Face Sheet, MHS-281 Payer Financial Information, MH-501 Diagnosis Information, etc. Do Providers under the new IBHIS initiative still required to use those forms?

Ans: *You will no longer need those forms. The data elements that are required by DMH are established through web-service and will be exchanged between the provider EHR system and IBHIS via this web-service. The information is required to be in the provider EHR system but there is no requirement for it to be on a paper form.*

Q2: Can we use the "treatment planning" functionality within our EHRS, in place of the page one of the CCCP?

Ans: *Regarding the treatment plan, per DMH policy 104.08, this is a required type of form which means in an EHRS you may have the data in your system however you choose as long as you have all the required data elements and can print them in a similar sequence to the paper form. So, yes, you can use your own treatment plan but make sure it has all the required data elements. Please refer to the Organizational Provider's Manual for the required data elements of the treatment plan.*

Q3: How can we obtain concrete DMH verification that we are meeting all DMH documentation standards throughout our EHRS, or in a specific section such as client treatment plans (CCCP)? What is the process for verifying that an agency is meeting DMH documentation standards within their EHRS before/after Go-live?

Ans: *An updated Organizational Provider's Manual is available on the DMH website (dmh.lacounty.gov) which clearly lists the required data elements for the treatment plan (as well as the Assessment). This will assist providers in identifying/ensuring they have all the required data elements. At this time, we are not able to verify/certify that you have all the required documentation data elements in your EHRS. It is up to the agency to make sure they are meeting all documentation requirements per the Organizational Providers Manual.*

Q4: With more data collected in the EHR and exchanged thru web services to IBHIS, how will that impact the need for client signatures on financial forms? For example many fields from the PFI are now required in the EHR. Does this mean the requirement for the current PFI form will be eliminated, and if so, also the need for a client signature on this data?

Ans: *A client's signature is still required for the financial screening process/PFI. The signature can, for example, be captured on paper and retained in the EHR via scanning as long as it is available for review in case of audit. Also, a copy of the PFI should be given to the client for their records.*

Q5: If a client is homeless is it still acceptable to put homeless in the street address and then use city, state and zip of the agency as the client's address?

Ans: *For homeless clients, providers should enter the address of the homeless shelter where the client stays, the address of the clinic where the client receives services, or a P.O. Box or address where the client receives mail.*

Q6: Since the concept of SFPR is going away, our understanding is:

- a. No single agency is responsible for coordinating services with agencies across LA County
- b. If service occurs for out of county clients LA County agencies are no longer responsible for approving services
- c. Each agency is responsible for creating, signing, and managing assessments and CCCPs without approval or signature from other agencies

Is this correct??

Ans: *Yes that is correct.*

Q7: How does a LE/Program release liability when a client is no longer under our care if the episode remains open (Some Providers we have an LE/agency level admit/discharge which is similar to IBHIS single episode, but we also admit/discharge within Programs and Services layers but this info does not appear to be exchanged thru web services)?

Ans: *This is a legal issue to discuss with your own legal counsel. For Directly Operated programs, an episode being open or closed is generally not considered a significant determinant of liability. Clinical service delivery and the documentation of those services is typically the primary consideration regarding liability.*

Q8: Provider Treatment Plan & DMH print view. Can we get written approval to replace the CCCP with Providers print view of the treatment plan?

Ans: *As long as the Providers print view has the data elements noted in the Organizational Provider's Manual page 1-11 (http://file.lacounty.gov/dmh/cms1_159846.pdf) then it is approved. Unfortunately, we will not be able to look at every Providers print view to verify/approve it. It is up to the Provider to ensure it has the required data elements of a treatment plan.*

Q9: FORMS - Will clinical forms requirements be changing for IBHIS GO-LIVE?

Ans: *Not at this time. Please refer to the Clinical Forms Inventory, DMH Policy 104.08 and the Organizational Provider's Manual for information regarding clinical form and clinical documentation data element requirements.*

Q10: OMA - Will the OMA data entry procedures change with the changes in IBHIS episodes?

Ans: *The Outcome Measures Application (OMA) for Full Service Partnership (FSP) and Field Capable Clinical Services (FCCS) as well as Prevention Early Intervention (PEI) OMA will remain unchanged at this point. Both OMAs will continue to exist outside of IBHIS and function normally. The two applications will be affected in*

different ways with the implementation in IBHIS. PEI OMA is not affected by the change in how episodes are defined in IBHIS. Episodes are not required for entering data for PEI OMA. PEI OMA is affected if the client doesn't exist in the IS. Any clients that solely exist in IBHIS will not be visible to select in PEI OMA. CIOB is working on the solution to this problem, but at this point we don't have an expected date for the fix. Data for clients that are not found in PEI OMA will need to be held and entered at a later date.

FSP and FCCS OMA is affected a bit more by the IBHIS transition. FSP/FCCS OMA requires an open episode for the provider to be able to enter outcomes. If the client had an IS open episode at the time the provider goes live on IBHIS, those episodes will remain open in the IS and will be available for providers to continue to select in OMA and continue to build on outcomes started in OMA. Clients that only exist in IBHIS or episodes that only exist in IBHIS are not currently visible in FSP FCCS OMA. If providers cannot locate clients and/or episodes in OMA that belong to them, they will need to hold data until OMA is modified. It is unclear at this time exactly how the change in the definition of episodes in IBHIS will affect OMA. More analysis needs to be done so that OMA can be modified to accommodate the IBHIS clients and IBHIS episodes. As soon as we have additional information, it will be released via one of our email list serves, through memorandums, or our project website www.dmhoma.pbworks.com

Q11: Is the PFI equal to the first date they became a DMH client with the first agency and does this follow the client through a lifetime?

***Ans:** The annual liability period is equal to the first date they become a DMH client with the first agency and it does follow the client year after year; however, if the client is not seen for several years, when they come back for services, the annual liability period would begin with the new admission date/initiation of services since the PFI has not been completed for the years that the client has not been seen.*

Q12: With the concept of SFPR going away, how is the coordination of care managed across multiple LEs. What is the impact on cycle date?

***Ans:** Coordination across LEs and episodes should be done according to appropriate clinical practice. However, at this time, there will be no paperwork required for coordination. In light of Healthcare Reform, we are waiting to determine whether new requirements for formal coordination arise. Current policy is being updated to state that each LE is responsible for its own cycle date for clinical paperwork.*

Q13: Is each CP/LE responsible for its own UMDAP dates?

***Ans:** The client's annual charge period does not change when a client is open in different LEs at the same time. The initial UMDAP date will follow the client from provider to provider throughout the State. The only exception to this is when there is a significant lapse in service from any Mental Health Plan provider in California. Information regarding the responsible UMDAP provider is communicated throughout the system via the System wide Annual Liability fields in IBHIS.*

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section IV: Practitioner Management

Q1: Does the Information entered in the Practitioner Registration and Maintenance Application need to match information on claims coming from provider?

Ans: Correct

Q2: There isn't any provider/practitioner information exchanged through web services, correct?

Ans: Correct

Q3: Will Claims consistency checks be performed for NPI#, NPPES data, discipline, category, name, taxonomy, etc., against what is in IBHIS? Will claims be unable to be processed if the above data elements in IBHIS / Practitioner Registration and Maintenance Application do not match what is sent in the claim? Will claim result in a rejection or a denial or something else?

Ans: *The Practitioner Registration and Maintenance (PRM) Application is matched to the NPPES data based on the practitioner's NPI. It then verifies that the practitioner's last name, first name and taxonomy code in PRM are consistent with NPPES. If these data fields are consistent, PSO staff will enter the practitioner information into IBHIS.*

LA County Claiming: IBHIS validates that the procedure code on the claim can be performed by the practitioner, based on the practitioner's discipline in IBHIS. Claims that don't pass this rule will be denied. The Addendum to Guide to Procedure Codes for IBHIS lists the disciplines that can use each procedure code.

State Claiming: 837P claims for Medi-Medi client services under MHS or Med Support where the rendering provider's taxonomy code indicates that they are NOT a Psychologist, Social Worker, Physician, Nurse Practitioner, Physician Assistant, or Nurse Specialist do not require a Medicare COB. When the state adjudicates the claim for the Medi-Medi client and sees that there is no Medicare loop, it looks at the taxonomy code on the claim to see if it's a code that can bypass Medicare claiming. If it's not, the claim will be denied by the state. IBHIS will then re-adjudicate the claim resulting in a denial to the provider.

Q4: Will NPPES data or the IBHIS data need to be updated to resolve discrepancies before claims can be processed?

Ans: *Yes, depending on where the discrepancy is.*

Q5: Will DMH load the Practitioner Data from NPPES into IBHIS twice per month, and reflect providers as "inactive" if they do not match?

Ans: *NPPES provides a weekly data extract which is loaded into the PRM Application. NPPES data is not used to inactivate providers. Providers need to inform DMH via the PRM Application when a practitioner is no longer active at their facility.*

Q6: Will NPPES updates take up to 30 days to be reflected in IBHIS, so status will show "Pending NPPES Validation" until resolved, and claims will not be processed?

Ans: *NPPES provides a weekly data extract which is loaded into the PRM Application. NPPES data is not used to change a provider's taxonomy code or related values in IBHIS. Practitioners would make updates to the NPPES only if the information needs to be corrected or updated. This typically occurs when the practitioner's last name changes or a practitioner's taxonomy is updated. Claiming that's dependent on the change should not be submitted until the changes are made in IBHIS. It is strongly recommended that legal entity staff responsible for adding new practitioners and/or updating practitioner information into PRM review the PRM User Manual.*

Q7: Will there be a Practitioner taxonomy date history maintained in IBHIS to match to the date of service for each claim?

Ans: *This functionality is currently being developed by the IBHIS vendor.*

Q8: How often is the PRM data moved into IBHIS, and how is it moved?

Ans: *On a flow basis, as PSO staff receive practitioner information from legal entities via PRM (Practitioner Registration and Maintenance) application, they will data enter new practitioners and/or updates to practitioner information into IBHIS.*

DMH is currently working with the IBHIS vendor to develop web service functionality to allow the contract providers using the PRM application to update the IBHIS practitioner information as the data is entered into the PRM.

<GO TOP>

Section V: Provider Authorizations (P-Auth)

Q1: How are the P-Authorizations made available to contract providers?

Ans: *P-Authorizations are essentially the authorization numbers tied to budgeted maximum contract amounts by funding source for the entire fiscal year – thus they are determined by the contract and do not require separate requests by the provider and they are not tied prospectively to specific clients. It will be created centrally at DMH and will be distributed via EFT folder.*

Upon completion of the web service and Claims certification process, the PRODUCTION P-auths will be posted in provider's EFT folder and a notification will be sent via email.

Once all providers are in Live, at the beginning of each FY the provider authorizations will be created by DMH and will be posted in the EFT folder. An RMD bulletin will be released to the providers informing about the availability of the new P-auths.

Q2: Please clarify the structure and use of these codes in each claim. i.e. the 2400 loop states “Report the Provider, Member or Fee-for-Service Authorization # in the Prior Authorization field”, does that mean we only report the M-Auth, and if no M-Auth we report the P-Auth, or do we include both here? What is the format, string length, separator to be used, etc.?

Ans: *Please review the Business Rules section of the 837 Companion Guide.*

Section VI: IBHIS Provisioning Trading Partner Agreement (TPA) and Digital Certificate Processes

Q1. How does a person access the Trading Partner Agreement (TPA) Request online application?

Ans: *The Contract Provider Authorized Designee (person that signed the DMH Contract) needs to provide a written authorization to TPA@dmh.lacounty.gov with name, phone number and email address of the person they designate as the authorized user.*

If the designated person has existing Integrated System (IS) credentials, they will use the same credentials to log into the TPA Request online application.

Note: *If designated person does not know their password, it can be re-set by calling the helpdesk at (213) 351-3335.*

*If the designated person **does not** have IS credentials they will need to fill out the TPA Request Access Form. The form can be obtained [here](#). Sign and scan the form to TPA@dmh.lacounty.gov.*

Q2. Who is the Authorized Designee to sign the TPA Request Access Form?

Ans: *The Authorized Designee is the person whom signed the DMH Contract (e.g., owner, president, designated CEO).*

Q3. Who signs the Trading Partner Agreement?

Ans: The Trading Partner Agreement will also need to be signed by the designee who signed the DMH Contract (e.g., owner, president, designated CEO).

Q4. Does the Trading Partner Agreement need to be mailed? If so, where should it be mailed?

Ans: The Trading Partner Agreement does not need to be mailed, it needs to be scanned and uploaded into the TPA online application. The paper forms should be kept for your records.

Q5. Can the Trading Partner Agreement be faxed? If so, where so it be faxed?

Ans: The Trading Partner Agreement cannot be faxed, it needs to be scanned and uploaded into the TPA online application when submitting the request. The online application requires the scan prior to submission.

Q6. Which NPI number should be used in the TPA?

Ans: If there are multiple locations, you will need to use the corresponding NPI number of your organizations headquarters or the location where checks can be sent by DMH.

Q7. What is a DUNS#?

Ans: Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) provides a D-U-N-S Number, a unique nine-digit identification number, for each physical location of your business. D-U-N-S Number assignment is FREE for all businesses required to register with the US Federal government for contracts or grants.

Q8. How do I apply for a DUNS#?

Ans: You will need to apply online <https://fedgov.dnb.com/webform>.

Q9. How long will it take for Trading Partners to receive approval once a TPA is submitted? Is an approved TPA required in order to receive the 'test' digital certificates?

Ans: Once a complete Trading Partner Agreement packet is received approval will be sent in approximately one week. The TPA approval is required prior to receiving the test digital certificate.

Q10. Is a valid TPA required in order to receive 'production' digital certificate(s) prior to Go-Live?

Ans: An approved TPA must be filed with DMH in order for production digital certificate(s) to be provisioned.

Q11. We do not have a technical person designated onsite; can I install the digital certificate myself?

Ans: A DMH technician will issue the digital certificate to the person listed in the technical section of the TPA Request. The person at your facility installing digital certificate should have administrative rights or be able to contact the person who will grant administrative rights during the process for the designated computer to complete the process.

Q12. What is the digital certificate for?

Ans: The digital certificate is used to securely communicate electronically with the Department of Mental Health.

Q13. We don't know which computer the digit certificate was installed on; can you issue a new one?

Ans: For assistance from the assigned technician, send email to TPA@dmh.lacounty.gov.

Q14. Our technical information has changed, who do we contact to update the information?

Ans: A request should be sent by the Authorized Designee to make changes. Send the new information via email to TPA@dmh.lacounty.gov, include name, address, phone number, and email address. The

request must be sent by the personnel authorized to request the change, a confirmation will be requested prior to implementing changes.

Q15. I don't know the DUNS # that Symantec PKI is asking for, where do I get that number from?

Ans: *The DUNS# is found in the TPA online application or contact the person who filled the TPA online application to provide you the DUNS #, if you don't know the person, email TPA@dmh.lacounty.gov, we will inform the designated person to contact you.*

Q16. Where do I find the enrollment code to start the digital certificate installation process?

Ans: *The enrollment code is found in the TPA online application in the "Status" section. If you do not have access to TPA, email TPA@dmh.lacounty.gov we will inform the designated person to contact you.*

Q17. I installed the digital certificate is that all I have to do?

Ans: *After installing the digital certificate, there are additional steps you need to take to complete the configuration. Please review: [Initial Installation Guide](#) for additional instructions.*

<GO TOP>

Section VII: Web Services – General

Q1: Do Trading Partners need to modify their EHR systems to collect and submit the data required by DMH Client Web Services?

Ans: *Yes, DMH expects all Trading Partners to make every effort to collect and submit all required data elements defined in the DMH Client Web Services Operations.*

Q2: Regarding Client Services, is there an option on all required fields of ‘unknown’ or a default value the Provider can submit ?

Ans: *Agencies are expected to submit all data fields when submitting information to IBHIS through Client Services. Required fields must be provided in order to process the requested operation. Where applicable the Department has made accommodations for submitting ‘unknown’ as a value, and has published documentation in the Client Services Companion Guide for reporting unknown information. Please be aware that not all required fields will accept ‘unknown’ as a value. Currently, there may be fields that agencies do not capture in their EHRs. Going forward, agencies are expected to modify their workflow processes to collect, store and submit the data to IBHIS. Ultimately, agencies are responsible for assuring the completeness and accuracy of all data submitted via Client Services.*

Q3: Can a Trading Partner Go-Live on IBHIS without a Client Service interface?

Ans: The Client Service interface must be integrated with each Trading Partners’ EHRs vendor. The Client Service interface allows Trading Partners the ability to establish and maintain administrative information with IBHIS. Successful client registration and maintenance is a dependency for claims processing.

Trading Partners must demonstrate a minimum core competency with Client Services by way of certification before being considered for Go-Live. Trading Partners cannot be considered Go-Live ready until they have integrated successfully with all required Client Services.

Q3: When will my organization have access to begin updating client data in IBHIS via Web Services?

Ans: *Trading Partners are able to create and update Clients once they have gone live on Client Services. An IBHIS Go-Live coordinator will schedule this event with vendors, Trading Partners, and DMH integration staff prior to their assigned IBHIS Claiming Go-Live.*

<GO TOP>

Section VIII: Web Services – Financial Eligibility

Q1: What value should be submitted in the “ClientsRelationshipToSubscriber” field for the UpdateClientFinancialEligibility operation when the client has Medi-Cal coverage?

Ans: *The expected value is “Self” for Medi-Cal clients.*

Q2: What value should be submitted in the “SubscriberPolicyNumber” field for the UpdateClientFinancialEligibility operation when the client has Medi-Cal coverage?

Ans: *The expected value is the Client’s Medi-Cal Client Index Number.*

Q3: What value should be submitted in the “SubscriberPolicyNumber” field for the UpdateClientFinancialEligibility operation when the client is Indigent?

Ans: *The expected value is the client’s Social Security Number (SSN) when the client has no insurance coverage. If the client’s SSN is unknown or not available, the expected value is 999999999.*

Q4: If the client’s Social Security Number (SSN) is unknown/not available at the time of intake, what value should be submitted?

Ans: *If the client’s SSN is unknown/not available, the expected value is 999999999.*

Q5: If my client’s address is unknown or not available, can my organization use 9999 Unknown?

Ans. *A valid address is expected for all submissions. For clients whose address is unknown, please use the address, city, state and zip of the location where they receive mail (e.g. a DPSS office or a shelter) or your organization’s address. For more information please refer to RMD Bulletin 14-011 - Use ZIP Code + 4 in IBHIS.*

Q6: Is “99999-9999” an acceptable value for Zip Code field?

Ans. *The value 99999-9999 is not a valid Zip Code. A valid United States Zip Code is expected and must be provided. For clients whose address is unknown, please use the address, city, state and zip of the location where they receive mail (e.g. a DPSS office or a shelter) or your organization’s address. For more information please refer to RMD Bulletin 14-011 - Use ZIP Code + 4 in IBHIS.*

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section IX: Web Services – Clinical

Q1: Should I use the “Substance Abuse Dependence” diagnosis fields in the CreateClientDiagnosis and UpdateClientDiagnosis operations to report dual diagnosis codes?

Ans: *Yes, the “SubstanceAbuseDependence” and “SubstanceAbuseDependenceDiagnosis” fields should be used to report Dual Diagnosis.*

Q2: What value should be submitted in the “TypeOfDiagnosis” field for the CreateClientDiagnosis operation?

Ans: When submitting the initial diagnosis for an Admission the expected value is “Admission”. Any subsequent diagnosis change for a given client/admission should be submitted through CreateClientDiagnosis with a diagnosis type of “Update”.

Q3: When do I use the “UpdateClientDiagnosis” operation?

Ans: The “UpdateClientDiagnosis” operation should NOT be used to overwrite an existing diagnosis submission. This operation should only be used to correct a previously submitted erroneous diagnosis. Please note the original submission will be overwritten, as such all values, must be resubmitted through this operation, including corrections. Lastly, please note the originally submitted DateOfDiagnosis cannot be changed/updated and must be resubmitted.

Q4: When I want to correct or make change for any Primary and/or Secondary and/or Tertiary diagnosis, what is the recommended path?

Ans: When you create a Diagnosis record in IBHIS, it is a set which will contain 1 Primary diagnosis and may or may not contain 1 or multiple secondary / tertiary diagnosis(es). Whenever there’s a need to change the existing entry, follow the rules identified below:

- If you need to add a new diagnoses in addition to the existing set(s); call CreateClientDiagnosis selecting TypeOfDiagnosis as ‘Admission’.
- If you need to replace the existing set of diagnoses or a single diagnosis in the existing set; then call the UpdateClientDiagnosis by sending ‘Void’ status for each diagnosis entry that needs to be replaced; then send CreateClientDiagnosis with the correct diagnosis entries selecting ‘TypeOfAdmission’ as ‘Update’.
- If you need to add a single diagnosis to the existing set, call UpdateClientDiagnosis by providing the Diagnosis Unique ID for the existing set, but leave the DiagnosisUniqueRowID blank.
- If you need to replace an erroneous entry from an existing set; then send ‘Void’ status for the existing erroneous entry and send the corrected information keeping TypeOfDiagnosis and all other previously submitted information same.

Note that when sending ‘Void’ status, do not send Billing Order and Diagnosis Ranking.

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section X: Web Services – Usage

Q1: The DischargeClient operation can be used when the client dies to end an Outpatient admission. Should the EpisodeDischargeComments field be used to describe the death?

Ans: There is no requirement to enter Discharge Comments. If the Discharge Comments field is used, it would NOT be appropriate to describe the client’s death in the field. The Discharge Comments would be more appropriate for administrative types of comments. The Client Should be discharged with TypeOfDischarge value of “Death”

Q2: What is the expected type of admission for Outpatient admission?

Ans: The expected “TypeOfAdmission” for Outpatient admissions is Elective.

Q9: If the client had Medi-Cal in FY15/16, but loses Medi-Cal in July (FY16-17), do we set that client up as Medi-Cal or NonMediCal?

Ans: *Services in FY16/17 would require non Medi-Cal claims. Services in FY15/16 would require Medi-Cal claims. The client should be established as a Medi-Cal client and the medical PAuth should be used to bill 15-16 claims and the non-Medi-Cal PAuth used for 16/17 claims.*

Q10: If a Client was admitted on 7/1/16, and had Medi-Cal benefits, then becomes loses Medi-Cal on 8/1/16, and re-gains Medi-Cal benefits 9/1/16. How should the Trading Partner handle this situation? Should the Trading Partner send UpdateClientDetails > ClientFinEligibility = 'MediCalClient' when the Medi-Cal is re-gained with Coverage Effective Date = 9/1/16? Or does the provider only need to establish Medi-Cal the first time the client was registered?

Ans: *Medi-Cal benefit establishment only has to happen once. In the situation above the client was established as a Medi-Cal client at admission. Services in July would require Medi-Cal claims. Services in FY15/16 would require Medi-Cal claims. When claiming the August services, the Trading Partner does not need to modify the clients eligibility, they would claim using the LACounty PAUth. Then when claiming the Sept services they would use the Medical PAuth. No changes are needed to eligibility as long as Medical was established upon admission on 7/1.*

Q11: How does the UpdateClientFinEligibility operation work? Previously, if the client was Medi-Cal, then we would need to send a loop for the Medi-Cal information with Subscriber Address, Gender, Social Security #, etc. AND a loop for the LACounty (non-medical) with a repetition of all (or most) of the same fields. Is this still the case?

Ans: *There could be four different scenarios.*

- 1. Client had Medi-Cal when admission was filed and still has Medi-Cal.*
- 2. Client gained Medi-Cal after the admission was filed.*
- 3. Client did not have Medi-Cal.*
- 4. Client had Medi-Cal when admission was filed but now lost coverage.*

For scenario '1'; if there's any change in client's subscriber information for Medi-Cal and/or LACounty; user will choose 'UpdateExistingMediCal' in [ClientFinEligibility] field and fill in any update under MediCalGuarantor and/or LACountyGuarantor.

For scenario '2'; User will choose 'AddNewMediCal' in [ClientFinEligibility] field and fill in subscriber information under Medi-CalGuarantor (CIN and Coverage Effective Date are required fields); and if there is any update for the subscriber information for LACountyGuarantor, they'll fill in that update under LACountyGuarantor.

For scenario '3'; User will choose 'UpdateNonMediCal' in [ClientFinEligibility] field and if there is any update for the subscriber information for LACountyGuarantor, they'll fill in that update under LACountyGuarantor.

For scenario '4': Nothing needs to be done through Web Services. 837 'P-Auth' will handle this.

UpdateFE call needs to be made only when there's a change in subscriber information for existing Medi-Cal and/or LACounty guarantor or when a new Medi-Cal guarantor needs to be added. So, depending on the scenario, the loop for both guarantors may be or may not be needed.

Section XI: ProviderConnect and Member Authorizations

Q1: Will ProviderConnect will be used for M-Authorizations?

Ans: *Yes, providers will use ProviderConnect for requesting Member Authorizations and receiving the response to the request.*

Q2: ProviderConnect will be used for P-Authorizations?

Ans: *No.*

Q2: Is there any connection between LE Extracts/EFT(SIFT) and ProviderConnect? Agencies will still need LE Extract/EFT(SIFT) for certain reporting functions?

Ans: *There is no connection between LE Extracts/EFT and ProviderConnect. Agencies will have data extracts and reports in their EFT folder. ProviderConnect does not provide access to LE Extracts/EFT folder.*

Q3: How will access be provided to ProviderConnect?

Ans:

- *Each ProviderConnect user will need to complete a set of access forms that can be accessed on the following web site: http://lacdmh.lacounty.gov/hipaa/IBHIS_EDI_Forms.htm*
- *Provider must complete and submit (either previously or with the set) “Individuals Authorized To Sign Applications Access” form (New or Replace signatures on file form that includes e-mail address). Form Link: http://lacdmh.lacounty.gov/hipaa/ffs_ISForms.htm in Original. (No Faxed or Emailed copy accepted)*
- *The other required forms for each user to complete include Application Access, Oath of Confidentiality, E-Signature Agreement and County of LA Agreement for Acceptable Use. (These forms can be mailed or emailed).*
- *These are PDF fillable forms that (after the requestor completes the forms) will need to be printed, signed, scanned and submitted to DMH*
- *Mailing address:*
LA County--Department of Mental Health
Provider Support Office/Systems Access Unit
695 S. Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90005
- *Email address: DMHPSO@dmh.lacounty.gov.*
- *The ProviderConnect login information will be emailed to each requestor prior to their go-live to IBHIS.*

Q4: Can a provider use ProviderConnect, to look-up client’s status in day treatment across LE’s?

Ans: *The provider can use the “Add New Client/Client Search” function in ProviderConnect to view all active or inactive episodes for the client. This would include admission and discharge date information. The details of the episode will not be provided.*

Q5: How long will it take to receive the approval for the M-Auth in ProviderConnect?

***Ans:** The Central Authorization Unit (CAU)'s turnaround time for authorizing new Client Care Plans (CCP) is 14 days for Day Rehabilitation (DR), and 7 days for Day Treatment Intensive (DTI). For reauthorizations, DR CCPs are to be submitted to the CAU at least 15 days prior to the current CCP ending and for DTI at least 7 days before the CCP end date. Remember to submit authorization request and CCP as soon as possible. Note, the number of days mentioned above are calendar days.*

Q6: What types of services require member based authorizations during the FY 14-15 once the provider is in Live?

- Ans:**
- a) Provider needs to obtain member based authorization for all day treatment services.*
 - b) Provider needs to obtain member based authorization for concurrent mental health services while the client is in a day treatment program.*
 - c) Fee-For-Service provider needs to obtain member based authorization, once the client is exhausted with their under-threshold services.*
 - d) Fee-For-Service provider needs to obtain member based authorization for Psychological Testing.*
 - e) A member based authorization is required for all Fee-For-Service professional services associated with an inpatient stay. When a TAR is approved by CAU, a corresponding member authorization will also be approved. Provider can view this authorization on ProviderConnect.*

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section XII: PATS

Q1: When will PATS be decommissioned? Will all PATS functionality be done on OrderConnect?

Ans: *We will maintain PATS and IS portal into PATS for an extended period of time for the continued support of the prescribing of medications to indigent clients where LACDMH pays the cost of the medication. Once live in IBHIS, contract agencies will be creating new IBHIS client records (if there is no existing PATID/client record already in the IBHIS) and IBHIS episodes. If these indigent clients and/or episodes do not already exist in the legacy Integrated System (IS), parallel “shadow” IS clients and/or episodes will need to be created to allow for the submission of PATS prescriptions. During this period, all prescriptions for which there is another payor (e.g., Medi-Cal) can be done outside of PATS and does not require any IS client/episode creation.*

For DMH directly operated programs, PATS functionality will ultimately be replaced by a combination of OrderConnect (ePrescribing) and a Pharmacy Benefits Manager for indigent client (PBM for formulary management, claims adjudication, etc). Once a PBM is implemented, pharmacies dispensing medications for indigent clients prescribed by an LACDMH contracted agency will leverage the PBM service in lieu of PATS to determine eligibility. More information will be provided at a future date regarding actions required of contract agencies for assuring indigent clients inclusion in the eligibility file. Contracted agencies will NOT have access to OrderConnect for ePrescribing. If contract agencies plan to use ePrescribing (including to help obtain Meaningful Use incentive payments) they will need to obtain their own eRx solution.

Q2: Should providers continue to open new clients and episodes in the IS for PATS authorized medications, and these clients must also be opened in IBHIS via Web Services? However, if they are opened in the IS, and also opened in the provider’s EHRS and pushed to IBHIS via Web Services, won’t the client have two different client ID’s, one in IS and one in IBHIS? How to avoid this, e.g. open the client in the IS only (this enables the PATS medication to be authorized), and wait for the new client to be pushed from the IS to IBHIS and an ID created there? Then use this ID in your EHRS for claiming. How often will the new client records be pushed from IS to IBHIS?

Ans: *If an IS client record exists for the individual, just an episode is needed to use PATS. When a client is new to the DMH system, ideally one would create the client in the IS first allowing the nightly IS push to create that client record in IBHIS before beginning modification in IBHIS. However there will be instances in which a client records will need to be created in the IS when a client record with a different ID # already exist in IBHIS for that individual. In such cases, PATS prescription will use the IS ID# and all IBHIS transactions must use IBHIS ID#.*

Q3: If a provider implements an e-prescribing solution, can they avoid using PATS before it is decommissioned? Or does DMH need their PBM implementation first, to replace PATS.

Ans: *Until a PBM is implemented in DMH, DMH will have no mechanism to pay for prescriptions for indigent clients other than through PATS.*

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section XIII: Claims Processing

Q1: What are the claim submission dates for Legal Entities and FFS Providers?

Ans: *When you go live in IBHIS, you will submit all new original claims for the current fiscal year onwards to IBHIS. New original claim for the prior fiscal year should be entered to IS. Claims must be processed through the entire claims cycle in whichever system the claim originated. Eg: if the original claim is submitted in IS, the void and replacement also should be submitted to IS. If the original claim is submitted in IBHIS, the corresponding voids and replacement should also be submitted to IBHIS.*

Q2: If client has Medi-Cal and a DMH plan, currently we send an 837 with info on both; DMH after adjudication from Medi-Cal, pulls the balance from the DMH plan; How will this process change with IBHIS 837's?

Ans: *You will send one claim. All reporting will be based on the P-Authorization used.*

Q3: Do we need to send an 837 for Medi-Cal and then a second 837 for DMH plans after we received the Medi-Cal 835?

Ans: *You will send one claim. All reporting will be based on the P-Authorization used.*

Q4: If client has OHC, Medicare, and Medi-Cal, currently these pre-Medi-Cal payor responses are all indicated in the 837 to Medi-Cal/DMH; how will this change now with IBHIS?

Ans: *This will not change. All OHC and Medicare adjudication information must be reported on the claims submitted to LA County DMH.*

Q5: How will Medi-Cal funded clients and claims be differentiated from Medi-Cal Expansion clients and claims? Currently we have funding that differentiates Medi-Cal from Medi-Cal Expansion clients, so these are tracked separately by Aid codes that come back in the Medi-Cal state 835 from DMH. How will this change? Will there be separate P-Auth codes?

Ans: *This will not change. You will use the same P-Auth for Medi-Cal and Medi-Cal Expansion clients, similar to how you use the same IS Plan for Medi-Cal and Medi-Cal Expansion clients. And you will continue to receive the SIFT/EFT Reports. RPT_FINCLAIMLIST will have the state approved aid code, as it does today.*

Also please refer to the RMD bulletin NGA 13-103 Short Doyle II Aid Codes Master Chart 11-25-13 for the Medi-Cal Expansion aid codes. Or [click here](#) to see the bulletin.

Q6: Is Katie A just certain procedure codes or a separate guarantor?

Ans: Provider needs to submit the 'KTA' indicator on the 837 file to indicate that the service is for a Katie A client. For Katie A clients, there are additional allowable service: Intensive Care Coordination (ICC) and Intensive Home Based Services (IHBS and any other procedure codes allowed under the funding source.

Q7: Will every data element sent in each 837 claim be validated by comparison to the data in the client and practitioner records in IBHIS? If not, which data will be used for comparison? Will the comparison / matching algorithm call for an exact match of every data element being compared, in order for the claim to be processed further? What can cause the match to fail? Will claim with these mismatches result in a rejection or a denial or something else?

Ans: Please review the Business Rules section of the 837 Companion Guide.

Q8: Which data elements required in the claim transaction will be pulled from the client record in IBHIS and put into the 837 file by IBHIS? Will this only occur on Medi-Cal claims? We need to understand this in order to refine our data entry and Web Services workflows to assure they enable accurate claims.

Ans: Please review the Business Rules section of the 837 Companion Guide.

Q9: Share of Cost (SOC) - Is this process changing with IBHIS? For example, will SOC amounts collected be included in financial client data in IBHIS, updated via Web services in client record, or via the claim? Please clarify.

Ans: There is no change to the way Share of Cost is reported on the 837 claims. Contract providers are obligated to collect the Share of Cost and is not recorded in IBHIS system.

Q10: Has the decision been made for providing the location of a service? If this is required, how will we provide this, in the 837? if so, will the companion guide need to be updated and vendors will need to make development changed to the 837?

Ans: Please review the Service Facility Location section of the 837 Companion Guide.

Q11: EBPs – Please clarify the EBP change in the 837. Do providers need to make any clinical, service delivery, or service recording / workflow changes for this EBP claim element?

Ans: IBHIS 837 contains single CSI EBP/SS or LACDMH specific EBP. It is up to the provider to decide whether to make any changes to their clinical or service recording/work flow.

Q12: Will there be a 277 companion guide issued, as was for the IS? if not, what format assumptions do we make, IS or National standards?

Ans: No 277 companion guide will be issued. Use the national standard for formatting requirements. In addition, the IBHIS 837 5010 Companion Guide includes the 277CA Claim Status codes that IBHIS uses when rejecting a claim prior to adjudication. Do not use the IS 277 companion guide.

Q13: Must all Duplicate Override codes now be assessed and included by EHRS, rather than IBHIS? IBHIS will not include any duplicate override codes in the claims on behalf of the provider?

Ans: *Correct. IBHIS will not make any modification to the 837 submission and hence will be accepted as submitted.*

Q14: Will Medi-Cal Claim transaction flow change, e.g. will we receive an “approved” 835 before the state adjudicates claim, and then a second denied 835 if the state then denies the claim during adjudication? Will we receive two 835’s for state denials?

Ans: *Please review the Business Rules section of the 837 Companion Guide.*

Q15: When will these be available?

- a. Requirements for 837P – Residential claims;

Ans: *The 837 Companion Guide has been updated for Residential and Inpatient claims.*

- b. 835 - Claim adjustment reason codes;

Ans: *Will be publishing soon on the provider website.*

- c. 277CA – Claim status codes;

Ans: *The 837 Companion Guide includes the 277CA claim status codes that IBHIS generates.*

Q16: When should we use non Medi-Cal Billable procedure codes?

Ans: *The procedure codes with HX modifiers are not billable to Medi-Cal. It can be used in the following situations.*

- a) *For the claims submitted with non Medi-Cal funding sources for a client who is Medi-Cal Eligible.*
- b) *If the client does not have Medi-Cal eligibility*
- c) *If the service is not Medi-Cal reimbursable*

Q17: For a single client, provider bill Medicare first for certain procedure codes and staff. However there are some codes/staff for the same client where we don’t need to send claims to Medicare first.

- a) When we submit these claims through IBHIS, what would be the client’s SBR code in 837? P? S? T?

Ans: *The client’s SBR code in 837 would be Primary for those claims that aren’t submitted to Medicare.*

- b) Or in other words, does the SBR value in 837 depend on the billing code and/or rendering provider?

Ans: *It depends on whether the claim was adjudicated by another payer before being sent to DMH. When DMH is the first payer to get the claim it’s treated as a Primary claim. If the claim goes to Medicare first, it makes DMH the Secondary payer on the claim. And that is dependent on the billing code and rendering provider.*

<GO TOP>

Section XIV: COS Claims

Q1: Since the decision was announced that the COS files will not be via a pipe delimited format, but rather via 837, when will we received the companion guide as our vendor has already begun development work on the other format?

Ans: *This information has been published in the 837 Companion Guide.*

Q2: Will we receive the HIPAA 277 response file, or just a 999 and 835 for COS?

Ans: *You will receive the 999, 277CA and 835 in response to COS claims reported on an 837.*

Q3: Will we have a new testing schedule timeframe issued for COS via 837?

Ans: *A COS scenario was added to the 'Legal Entity Provider Readiness Testing: Claiming' document. Providers should incorporate COS testing in with their direct service claims testing.*

Q4: Will these claims use the same FTP site and digital key as the other IBHIS 837 files?

Ans: *Yes, the COS claims will be treated just like any other 837.*

Q5: How will the 837 work when there is no specific client for the service. Does the 837 allow for no client? i.e. will DMH be assigning a MIS/IBHIS number? Do we need to use a fake client as we do now in the EHRS (Eg - COS_FSP, etc.)?

Ans: *Please see the 837 Companion Guide for details.*

Q6: When will the companion guide for COS 837's will be available?

Ans: *This has been published.*

Q7: Should the COS claims be submitted as 15 minutes Units or in Minutes?

Ans: *The COS claim must be submitted in Minutes.*

Q8: Have the rate tables changed for COS?

Ans: *The COS rates are converted in to minutes in IBHIS.*

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section XV: Reports

Q1: How long will DMH maintain the IS archival data and reports and how long will we have access to it?

Ans: Indefinite on both counts. Certainly as the data will be maintained through the final settlement process with the State for each FY. Beyond that there is no plan to purge or limit access to the data at this time.

Q2: Will providers be able to pull reports for FY13-14 and prior, as well as FY14-15 from the same database? or will this require two different databases be accessed to get FY13-14 vs 14-15?

Ans: Still being discussed internally in DMH and the information will be provided as soon as it is available.

Q3: How do I access the list of duplicated IS clients that have been merged in IBHIS?

Ans: You will need to use your EFT folder to access the IBHIS data extract. See the Reports Committee website for more information (<http://lacdmh.lacounty.gov/ISReport/>). If you do not have an EFT account, you will need to sign up to access this data. The website also contains those forms.

[<GO TOP>](#)

Section XVI: Contacts and Reference links

Q1: Who to contact when there is an error in IBHIS web services call?

Ans: IBHISWSS@dmh.lacounty.gov

Q2: Who to contact when there is an issue related to Clinical Data (eg. Duplicate client records)?

Ans : DMHHIM@dmh.lacounty.gov

Q3: Who to contact when there is an issue related to Financial Eligibility?

Ans: RevenueManagement@dmh.lacounty.gov

Q4: Who to contact when there is a Billing Issue? Eg. Duplicate 999s, 277 files that don't make sense, or 835 files that never arrive, or when they do arrive, they have rejections that we don't understand.

Ans: RevenueManagement@dmh.lacounty.gov

Q5: Who to contact when a complete failure of the system occurs? Eg. 837 files we drop never get processed, or we get some "BizTalk" or "Avatar" error.

Ans : IBHISWSS@dmh.lacounty.gov

Q6: Who to contact when there is an issues related to EDI claims certification testing?

Ans: IBHISEDISupport@dmh.lacounty.gov

Q7: Who to contact if you have questions about Member Authorizations?

Ans: ManagedCare@dmh.lacounty.gov

Useful web resource links:

1. *IBHIS EDI Guides(Web Services, 837-5010)*

http://lacdmh.lacounty.gov/hipaa/IBHIS_EDI_Guides.htm

2. *QA Bulletins :*

http://dmh.lacounty.gov/wps/portal/dmh/admin_tools?1dmy&page=dept.lac.dmh.home.admin_tools.admin_detail.hidden&urile=wcm%3Apath%3A/dmh+content/dmh+site/home/administrative+tools/administrative+tools+detail/quality+assurance+bulletins

Or use this path for access

<http://dmh.lacounty.gov>

- Click the link 'For Providers' on the top bar
- Click the 'Administrative Tools' from the side bar
- Click 'Quality Assurance Bulletins' from the right side 'Bulletins' Section

3. RMD Bulletins:

http://dmh.lacounty.gov/wps/portal/dmh!/ut/p/b1/rZLLboMwEEW_pR9QjY0dhy7BPBtCAnYD9iaiaoWSkJBFWwJfX7PqQypsOruZe8f3WBrQoJhFGWNouYAS9KX6ONTV26G9VM3Ya7b3EULhJhBWSAIHxb5HZLq/WFBsDOqbvgmJZ3SacDeqZkhgersA5Y5PGBf6oxw0h1CA_hHzCyJE0_oICTMAE9_qGYU0as-voAzo8stm88q2tp1NuNqSsaMgoUR0L479NR5OQ34cRL9Oqlh6qIsnPkr9-iafFivpxZ1brOSV57LFmdf28qH0X4l8c6xoeL_NBbF_C3oEXTfts7mDqsNZN0l_f8qjDtvY7u4-ARAHoiM!/dl4/d5/L2dBISEvZ0FBIS9nQSEh/pw/Z7_E000GOFs28CH80IEV83CSP3SV4/ren/p=CTX=QCPd_mhQCAcontentQCPdmhQCAsiteQCPhomeQCPadministrativeQCAtoolsQCPadministrativeQCAtoolsQCAdetailQCPvenueQCAmanagementQCAbulletinsQCA2014/-/#Z7_E000GOFs28CH80IEV83CSP3SV4

Or use this path for access

<http://dmh.lacounty.gov>

- Click the link 'For Providers' on the top bar
- Click the 'Administrative Tools' from the side bar
- Click 'Revenue Management Division Bulletins' from the right side 'Bulletins' Section

<GO TOP>